

## Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 BUENOS 02889 201542Z

ACTION ARA-10

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 ARAE-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 USIE-00  
INRE-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-04 H-02 INR-07 L-03  
NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-02 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 OMB-01  
DHA-02 EB-08 TRSE-00 MCT-01 ACDA-10 COME-00 /074 W  
-----201553Z 027248 /46

O 201435Z APR 77

FM AMEMBASSY BUENOS AIRES

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 0109

UNCLAS BUENOS AIRES 2889

DEPARTMENT FOR ARGENTINE DESK OFFICER RONDON

E.O. 11652: NA

TAGS: SHUM, PINS, AR

SUBJECT: VIDELA DISCUSSES GRAIVER AFFAIR

REF: BUENOS AIRES 2875

1. PRESIDENT VIDELA LED OFF THE ARMY'S FOUR-HOUR PRESS CONFERENCE APRIL 19 WITH AN INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT CONCERNING THE WAR AGAINST SUBVERSION AND TERRORISM IN ARGENTINA, A SUBSTANTIAL PORTION OF WHICH WAS DEVOTED TO AN OVERVIEW OF THE GRAIVER CASE. VIDELA SHOWED UNACCUSTOMED EMOTION AND FORCEFULNESS IN DISCUSSING THE EXTENT OF SUBVERSION IN ARGENTINA AND SEEMED PARTICULARLY INDIGNANT WHEN HE GOT AROUND TO HIS EXPOSITION OF HOW THE GRAIVER AFFAIR FIT INTO THE SUBVERSIVE THREAT. VIDELA THEN EXCUSED HIMSELF FROM THE MEETING, SAYING HE HAD TO PREPARE FOR HIS DEPARTURE TODAY FOR PARAGUAY, AND TURNED THE BRIEFING OVER TO GENERALS VIOLA, MARTINEZ AND JAUREGUI WHO DREW A DETAILED PICTURE OF THE ORGANIZATION OF THE VARIOUS SUBVERSIVE GROUPS, THE SUCCESSFUL TUCUMAN OPERATION AGAINST THE ERP AND AN EVALUATION OF THE CURRENT STRENGTH AND TACTICS OF SUBVERSION.

2. IN LEADING INTO THE GRAIVER CASE, VIDELA STATED THAT HE DID  
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 BUENOS 02889 201542Z

NOT WISH TO EVADE HIS RESPONSIBILITY TO INFORM PUBLIC OPINION OF THE CONNECTION BETWEEN THE GRAIVER AFFAIR AND SUBVERSION, BUT HE DID SO HOPING THAT THE REPORTERS WOULD NOT LOSE SIGHT OF THE FOREST (THE GLOBAL SUBVERSIVE PROBLEM) FOR THE TREES. THE "SO-CALLED GRAIVER CASE IS IMPORTANT, TRANSCENDENTAL AND SPECTACULAR," HE SAID, "BUT IT IS ONE PART OF THE WHOLE WHICH WE WILL DISCUSS IN DETAIL, WHICH IS SUBVERSION, BECAUSE THE GRAIVER CASE IS A

CASE OF SUBVERSION." THE PRESIDENT'S TEXTUAL REMARKS CONCERNING GRAIVER FOLLOW:

2WHAT IS THE GRAIVER CASE? AS A RESULT OF AN INVESTIGATION CARRIED OUT BY THE POLICE OF THE PROVINCE OF BUENOS AIRES WITH REFERENCE TO ILLEGAL HANDLING OF FOREIGN CURRENCY AND DUE TO STATEMENTS ISSUED BY A CERTAIN GROUP OF PERSONS, DOCUMENTATION SEIZED AND SWORN STATEMENTS, THE INVESTIGATION REACHES A GROUP OF PEOPLE CALLED THE "GRAIVER GROUP" AND AS OF THAT MOMENT THE PROBLEM PRESENTS TWO DIFFERENT CHARACTERISTICS: ONE WHICH IS PURELY ECONOMIC AND REFERS EXCLUSIVELY TO THE ILLEGAL HANDLING OF FOREIGN CURRENCY AND OTHER CONNECTIONS WITHIN THE COUNTRY AND ABROAD. THE SECOND ASPECT, BY FAR THE MOST IMPORTANT, IS THAT THE SO-CALLED "GRAIVER GROUP,' WORKING IN FAVOR OF SUBVERSION, HAD RECEIVED MILLIONS OF DOLLARS AS DEPOSIT TO BE USED AS INVESTMENTS, IN THE COUNTRY AND ABROAD, FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE SUBVERSIVE MOVEMENT AND WITH THE KNOWLEDGE OF THOSE INVOLVED IN THE CASE.

"IN VIEW OF THE GRAVITY OF THE CASE, THE COMMANDER-IN-CHIEF OF THE ARMY CORPS I, IN WHOSE JURISDICTION THE CASE HAD TAKEN PLACE, ASKED THE COMMANDER-IN-CHIEF OF THE ARMY FOR THE DESIGNATION OF A HIGHER RANKING OFFICER IN THE CAPACITY OF MILITARY INVESTIGATING JUDGE "PREVENTOR" SO THAT IN FULFILLMENT OF LAW 21,460, A JUDICIAL INVESTIGATION COULD BE CARRIED OUT AND, IF APPROPRIATE, TRANSMITTED TO A COURT-MARTIAL.

"IN COMPLIANCE WITH THAT REQUEST, A GENERAL WAS APPOINTED AS UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 BUENOS 02889 201542Z

"PREVENTOR" AND, AS SUCH, IT IS HIS RESPONSIBILITY TO TAKE THE INITIAL STEPS, KEEP THE EVIDENCE, DETERMINE "PRIMA FACIE' THOSE RESPONSIBLE, AND PUT TOGETHER THE FUNDAMENTAL EVIDENCE SO THAT THE TRIBUNAL ATTACHED TO THE CASE MAY PROCEED UPON THE TERMINATION OF THE FIRST STAGE BY THE "PREVENTOR". IT IS WORTH NOTING THAT DUE TO THE MAGNITUDE OF THE TWO ASPECTS OF THIS CASE, IT WILL BE NECESSARY AT A GIVEN MOMENT AND ON THE BASIS OF THE INITIAL RECORDED EVIDENCE, TO DEFINE THE AREAS OF COMPETENCE WHICH MAY ARISE IN CONFORMITY WITH THE TWO DIMENSIONS OF THE CASE: THE CASE OF COMMON ORDINARY CRIME IN THE ECONOMIC FIELD, AND THAT OF SUBVERSIVE CRIME.

"THE INITIAL STEPS HAVE ALREADY BEGUN AND HAVE BORNE FRUIT. UPON THE "PREVENTOR'S" ADVICE, THE MILITARY JUNTA ACTING BY MEANS OF THE INSTITUTIONAL RESPONSIBILITY ACT (THROUGH WHICH THE BEHAVIOR OF PEOPLE WHO HAVE ATTEMPTED TO TAKE LIVES OF OTHERS IS TO BE JUDGED), HAS DECIDED IN A RESOLUTION SIGNED TODAY TO INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING PEOPLE: JUAN GRAIVER; ISIDORO MIGUEL GRAIVER; LIDIA ELBA PAPALEO; RAFAEL IANOVEN; ORLANDO BENJAMIN

REYNOSO; FRANCISCO FERNANDEZ BERNARDEZ, AND ALSO HEIRS TOTHE  
'ESTATE OF DAVID GRAIVER AND JORGE RUBENSTEIN, WITHOUT  
PREJUDICE TO THE FACT THAT IN THE PROSECUTION OF THESE PROCEED-  
INGS OTHER PERSONS MAY BE INCLUDED IN THE INSTITUTIONAL RESPONS-  
IBILITY ACT.

"GENTLEMEN, PLEASE REST ASSURED, AND I BEG OF YOU THAT  
YOU TRANSMIT TO PUBLIC OPINION, THAT IT IS THE IRREVOCABLE DECI-  
SION OF THE MILITARY AUTHORITIES AND THE POLITICAL (AUTHORITIES?)  
AS WELL, TO CARRY THROUGH ON THIS CASE TO ITS ULTIMATE CONSE-  
QUENCES."

3. VIDELA'S REMARKS AND THE TEXT OF THE JUNTA RESOLUTION (SEPTTEL)  
PLACING DAVID GRAIVER, HIS IMMEDIATE FAMILY AND CLOSE BUSINESS  
ASSOCIATES UNDER THE TERMS OF THE ACT OF INSTITUTIONAL RESPONS-  
IBILITY APPEAR TO CONFIRM THE DEATH OF GRAIVER'S ADMINISTRATOR  
JORGE RUBENSTEIN. VIDELA DID NOT MENTION LA OPINION'S JACOBO  
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 04 BUENOS 02889 201542Z

TIMERMAN AND ENRIQUE JARA IN HIS DISSERTATION AND, AS FAR AS WE  
CAN DETERMINE FROM A SURVEY OF THIS MORNING'S PRESS, THERE WAS  
NO EXPLICIT DISCUSSION OF THE REASONS FOR THEIR DETENTION DURING  
THE PRESS BRIEFING. (SEE SEPTTEL HOWEVER FOR TEXT OF ARMY  
COMMUNIQUE CITING LAW UNDER WHICH TIMERMAN IS BEING HELD.)

4. ON A SEPARATE ISSUE OF INTEREST TO THE DEPT, DURING THE  
QUESTION AND ANSWER PERIOD THE BRIEFERS READ A PREPARED RESPONSE  
TO A QUESTION WRITTEN IN BEFOREHAND BY THE LOCAL REPRESENTATIVE  
CONCERNING WHETHER THERE HAD BEEN ANY INPUT FROM THE US MILGP  
OR US COUNTERINSURGENCY EXPERIENCE GAINED FROM VIETNAM IN  
ARGENTINA'S WAR AGAINST SUBVERSION. THE SECOND PART OF THE  
QUESTION WAS WHETHER, IN LIGHT OF THE REDUCTION OF US MILITARY  
AID TO ARGENTINA ON ACCOUNT OF SUPPOSED VIOLATIONS OF HUMAN  
RIGHTS IN ARGENTINA, THERE WAS ANY DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE WAY  
THE ARGENTINES WERE FIGHTING THEIR WAR AGAINST SUBVERSION AND  
THE TECHNIQUES IMPARTED TO THE ARGENTINE ARMY BY "US INSTRUCTORS"  
OR OTHER US OFFICERS WHO OTHERWISE HAVE HAD CONTACT WITH THE  
ARGENTINE MILITARY. THE PREPARED REPLY WAS AS FOLLOWS: THERE  
NEVER WAS A NUMEROUS US MILITARY MISSION IN ARGENTINA; THE WAR  
AGAINST SUBVERSION IN ARGENTINA IS BASED ON ARGENTINE DOCTRINE,  
THE SPECIFIC ARGENTINE SITUATION AND THE EXPERIENCE IN OTHER  
COUNTRIES; IN ORDER TO DEFEAT SUBVERSION IN ARGENTINA, THE ARMED  
FORCES DEVELOPED THEIR OWN DOCTRINE; FOR THIS WAR THE ARGENTINES  
HAVE HAD NO NEED OF INSTRUCTORS OR MENTORS, WHO COULDN'T HAVE  
HELPED ANYWAY SINCE THE SUBVERSION IN ARGENTINA DIFFERS SIGNI-  
FICANTLY FROM THAT ELSEWHERE; SPECIFICALLY THE SITUATION IN  
VIETNAM BEARS NO SIMILARITY TO THE SUBVERSION IN ARGENTINA SINCE  
MARXIST SUBVERSION ADAPTS ITS TACTICS TO THE PARTICULAR TERRAIN  
IN WHICH IT OPERATES; AND CONSEQUENTLY "WE HAVE NOT COMPARED  
METHODS, NOR ACCEPTED SUGGESTIONS, NOR EVEN ANALYZED RESULTS

(JOINTLY)."

5. FURTHER DETAILS OF THE PRESS CONFERENCE WILL FOLLOW.

HILL

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** COUNTERINSURGENCY, DETENTION, DIPLOMATIC DISCUSSIONS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Sent Date:** 20-Apr-1977 12:00:00 am  
**Decaption Date:** 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** n/a  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:**  
**Disposition Date:** 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1977BUENOS02889  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** N/A  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Expiration:**  
**Film Number:** D770137-0443  
**Format:** TEL  
**From:** BUENOS AIRES  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1977/newtext/t19770439/aaaabhvk.tel  
**Line Count:** 173  
**Litigation Code IDs:**  
**Litigation Codes:**  
**Litigation History:**  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Message ID:** da982aa2-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Office:** ACTION ARA  
**Original Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 4  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** 77 BUENOS AIRES 2875  
**Retention:** 0  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 10-Nov-2004 12:00:00 am  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**SAS ID:** 2753449  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** VIDELA DISCUSSES GRAIVER AFFAIR  
**TAGS:** SHUM, PINS, AR, (GRAVIER, DAVID)  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**vdkgvwkey:** odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS\_Docs/da982aa2-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Review Markings:**  
Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
22 May 2009  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009